International Workshop of Computational Electronics Purdue University, 26<sup>th</sup> of October 2004

#### Treatment of Point Defects in Nanowire MOSFETs Using the Nonequilibrium Green's Function Formalism

#### <u>M. Bescond</u>, J.L. Autran\*, N. Cavassilas, D. Munteanu, and M. Lannoo

Laboratoire Matériaux et Microélectronique de Provence UMR CNRS 6137 - Marseille/Toulon (France) - <u>www.l2mp.fr</u> \* Also Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)



## Outline

#### □ Introduction

MOSFETs downscaling: statistical fluctuations of doping impurity positions

□ 3D Quantum simulation of point defects in nanowire transistors

- Nonequilibrium Green Function formalism: Mode-Space approach
- Treatment of point defects

#### □ Results: influence of the impurity location and type

- Energy subbands
- Transverse modes
- Current characteristics

□ Conclusions and perspectives



#### **Dimensions of nanowire MOSFETs**



✓ Source and drain region: continuous doping of  $10^{20}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.

- ✓ Dimensions: L=8 nm,  $W_{Si}$ =3 nm, and  $T_{Si}$ =3 nm,  $T_{OX}$ =1 nm.
- $\checkmark$  Channel region: discrete doping of 10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, with 1 impurity on average.

**Þ** Effect of the impurity type and location.

CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

# Nonequilibrium Green's function formalism

**Point Defect Treatment** 





\* J. Wang et al. J. Appl. Phys. 96, 2192 (2004).

## The 3D Mode Space Approach

• Electron distribution along subbands (valley (010)): • For each subband i:



Simplified tight-binding approach: cubic lattice with a<sub>x</sub>, a<sub>y</sub>, a<sub>z</sub>.

- 1 orbital/atom:  $|l, m, n\rangle$  : position z=l×a<sub>z</sub>, y=m×a<sub>y</sub>, x=n×a<sub>x</sub>.
- Interactions between first neighbors.

CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

#### **Point defect description**



Point Defect = On-site Potential + Coulomb Tail

Treated as a localized variation = Chemical structure

⇒ Included in the real space approach based on the Dyson equation.  $[G=(I-G_0V)^{-1}G_0]$ 



Treated as a macroscopic variation

⇒ Included in the self-consistent modespace approach without coupling the electron subbands

#### **Treatment of on-site potential**



Calculation of the Green's functions of the surfaces S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>:

$$\left\langle l_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1} \left| G_{S1}(e) \right| l_{1}', m_{1}', n_{1}' \right\rangle = \left\langle l_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1} \right| \left\langle l_{n_{1},i} \right\rangle \left\langle l_{n_{1},i} \left| G_{i}^{1}(e) \right| \right\rangle \left\langle l_{n_{1},i} \right\rangle \left\langle l_{n_{1},i} \left| l_{1}', m_{1}', n_{1}' \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$\left\langle l_{2}, m_{2}, n_{2} \left| G_{S2}(e) \right| l_{2}', m_{2}', n_{2}' \right\rangle = \left\langle l_{2}, m_{2}, n_{2} \right| \left\langle l_{2}, m_{2}, n_{2} \right| \left\langle l_{n_{2},i} \right\rangle \left\langle l_{n_{2},i} \left| G_{i}^{2}(e) \right| \left\langle l_{n_{2},i} \right\rangle \left\langle l_{n_{2},i} \left| l_{2}', m_{2}', n_{2}' \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle$$



#### **Treatment of on-site potential**

• U<sub>d</sub> is then included using the Dyson equation:

$$G'_{S2}(\boldsymbol{e}) = (I - G_{S2}(\boldsymbol{e})U)^{-1}G_{S2}(\boldsymbol{e})$$
  
Intra-atomic potential matrix

• Retarded Green function of the uncoupled system:

$$G_{S}^{0}(\boldsymbol{e}) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{S1}(\boldsymbol{e}) & 0 \\ 0 & G'_{S2}(\boldsymbol{e}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Calculation of the current\*:

$$I = -\frac{4\mathbf{p}e}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\mathbf{e} \, Tr_1 \Big[ N_{11}^0 \Lambda_{11}^+ V_{12} N_{22}^0 V_{21} \Lambda_{11} \Big] \Big( f_S (\mathbf{e}) - f_D (\mathbf{e}) \Big)$$

$$N^0 = \Big[ -\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} \operatorname{Im} (G_S^0) \Big] \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Lambda = \Big[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \Big]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P} \left[ I - G_S^0 V G_S^0 V \right]^{-1}$$

## Results

Influence of point defect



• Electronic subbands: Effect of the Coulombic potential (valley (010))



- ✓ Subband profile is affected by the impurity.
- Subbands are still independent: justification of the mode-space approach.
   Acceptor impurity increases the channel barrier.



• Evolution the 1<sup>st</sup> confinement eigenstate (valley (010)):



 $\checkmark$  Highest variations of the eigenstate with centered impurity.

✓ Scalar product  $\langle ?_{1, free} | ?_{1, cent} \rangle$  ~ 0.95 : weak variations.



• First subband profile and current characteristics:



- ✓ Defect in the corner: weak influence on the subband profile.
- ✓ Defect free: highest current.
- ✓ Centered defect: lowest current.
- ✓ Defect in the corner: intermediate behavior: current decrease of 50%.
- $\checkmark$  Variation of the subthreshold slope.

Influence of Coulomb potential:



✓ On-site potential defect does not affect the total current.

- Coulombic potential has the most significant impact.
- Electrons can be transmitted through the unperturbated neighboring atoms.



#### Conclusion

- Modeling of electron-ion interaction based on the NEGF formalism.
- Study of the effect the acceptor impurity in terms of physical properties.
- Centered impurity involves a significant degradation of the current.
- Not only a shift of the current but rather a subthreshold slope variation.
- The Coulomb potential has a prevalent rule compared to the on-site potential of the impurity.
- Treatment of donor impurities in source and drain.

